# Waters<sup>™</sup>

### アプリケーションノート

# Evaluation of a LC-MS Method to Screen for Drugs in Post-Mortem Whole Blood Specimens

#### Kevin Shanks, Tim Dahn, Andrea R. Terrell, Jan Bohuslavek

AIT Laboratories, Waters Corporation



For forensic toxicology use only.

## Abstract

Toxicological screening of post-mortem whole blood specimens is routinely performed to help determine the cause of death. Traditionally, screening is performed using either GC-MS, immunoassays, or HPLC with UV detection. Immunoassays can be cost prohibitive and often suffer from cross reactivity. HPLC with UV detection often lacks specificity and sensitivity. GC-MS requires extensive sample preparation and is not suitable for thermolabile compounds. An LC-MS approach can potentially overcome many of these limitations and provide a more thorough screening solution. The aim of the work described in this application note was to compare a new LC-MS screening method to an existing GC-MS method. A key element of the study was to evaluate the efficiency of ChromaLynx deconvolution and the library searching software utilized in the LC-MS screening method.

#### **Benefits**

LC-MS technology provides an excellent additional tool for toxicology screening

## Introduction

The described method utilizes full scan mass spectra recorded at multiple cone voltages using in-source collision induced dissociation (CID). Using a full scan mass spectra results in a more extensive and thorough toxicological analysis when compared to MS/MS based targeted screening methods. Specimens are analyzed under multiple fragmentation conditions. The degree of fragmentation is controlled by varying the cone voltage in the mass spectrometer. Sample spectra are then compared to library spectra which have been acquired under the same conditions. A key element in this approach is a unique chromatographic data processing software program: ChromaLynx.

ChromaLynx performs two key functions:

- It uses a unique algorithm to detects peaks in a chromatogram. This peak detection process enables
  detection and location of low intensity and closely eluting peaks that could be missed on a manual
  visual inspection. Deconvoluted mass spectra of these peaks are then automatically compared to library
  mass spectra
- ChromaLynx also produces a list of "candidate' components and applies confidence factors to the identification

Retention time data is also used in component identification process which increases confidence in the

library search results. The results are then displayed in an easy to view browser format. The processed data browser is fully customizable and can contain an overlayed chromatogram of all functions, spectral information for every component and its corresponding library hit, a list of identified candidates, and other relevant information (Figure 1).




Figure 1 : ChromaLynx browser illustrating chromatograms recorded at different functions in the top window. Bottom left window lists compounds identified by ChromaLynx library search. Bottom right window compares mass spectra of a component with a library match.

# Experimental

The following sample preparation protocol was used for whole blood post-mortem samples. 20  $\mu$ L of a 50  $\mu$  g/mL of Proadifen internal standard solution was added to 2.5 mL whole blood. Three mL of 100 nM sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.5 was added and the sample centrifuged at 3000 RPM for 20 minutes. The supernatant was then further prepared using the following SPE protocol.

#### **SPE Process**

- a. Condition cartridge with 2 mL ethyl acetate.
- b. Condition cartridge with 2 mL methanol.

- c. Dry cartridge for 10 seconds.
- d. Load sample onto cartridge and let flow through at 1.0 mL/minute.
- e. Wash with 2 mL potassium carbonate buffer, pH 9.0.
- f. Wash with 2 mL DI water.
- g. Dry for 10 minutes.
- h. Elute with 2 mL [98:2] ethyl acetate:ammonium hydroxide solution.
- i. Dry down under nitrogen.
- j. Reconstitute with 200  $\mu L$  acetonitrile.

Sample was then analysed using LC-MS method as below.

#### **HPLC** Separation

A generic HPLC separation method was used to both generate library mass spectra and analyze post mortem blood samples. This enables retention time to be used in the library search process. Retention time filters can be automatically used by ChromaLynx. HPLC separation was performed on a Waters Alliance HPLC 2795.

Column: Waters XTerra, MS  $C_{18}, 2.1 \: x \: 150 \: mm, 3.5 \: \mu m$ 

Injection volume: 50 μL

Chromatographic run time 26 minutes

### LC Gradient

Time (Min)	Mobile Phase A	Mobile Phase B	Flow (mL/min)	Curve
0	95	5	0.2	1
2	95	5	0.2	6
16	10	90	0.2	6
20	95	5	0.2	6
26	95	5	0.2	6

#### **Mass Spectrometry**

A Waters Quattro micro API mass spectrometer was used in combination with the Waters Alliance 2795 LC system. Electrospray ionisation was used under the following conditions:

Capillary Voltage: 3.2 kV

Source Temperature: 120 °C

Desolvation Temperature: 350 °C

Mass spectra of the whole blood samples were recorded using 7 different cone voltage functions. In this analysis 6 spectra were recorded in positive ion mode at cone voltages of 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 volts. In addition, mass spectra were also recorded at a negative ion voltage of 30 volts.

## **Results and Discussion**

One hundred and twenty five post mortem blood samples were analyzed using the method described above. Results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. In many cases the GC-MS and LC-MS results were comparable. In the majority of cases, the LC-MS method was able to identify more analytes than the GC-MS method. Examples of these samples are given in Table 2.

## Table 1

Sample	GC/MS Results	LC/MS Results	Confirmed Results
40007149	Amitriptyline	Amitriptyline	Amitriptyline
	Nortriptyline	Nortriptyline	Nortriptyline
40007687	Cotinine	Cotinine	Cotinine
	Lidocaine	Lidocaine	Lidocaine
40008731	Olanzapine	Olanzapine	Olanzapine
	Paroxetine	Paroxetine	Paroxetine
40008703	Bupropion Sertraline Desmethylsertraine*	Bupropion Sertraline	Bupropion Sertraline Desmethylsertraine*
40014159	Lamotrigine	Lamotrigine	Lamotrigine
	Diphenylhydramine	Diphenylhydramine	Diphenylhydramine
40014439	Cotinine	Cotinine	Cotinine
	Lidocaine	Lidocaine	Lidocaine
40014416	Chlorpromazine	Chlorpromazine	Chlorpromazine
	Sertraline	Sertraline	Sertraline

\*Desmethylsertraline is currently not in the library used for these experiments, therefore it could not be positively identified by the LC-MS method. The library is fully user appendable, so the compound can easily be added.

## Table 2

Sample Number	GC/MS Results	LC/MS Results	Comfirmed Results
40007649	Diphenhydramine	Diphenhydramine	Diphenhydramine
40007649		Fentanyl	Fentanyl
		Cocaine	Cocaine
40008528	Negative	Methadone	Methadone
		Diazepam	Diazepam
40014237	Negative	Diazepam	Diazepam
		Nordiazepam	Nordiazepam
40007175		Acetaminophen	Acetaminophen
40007175	Acetaminophen	Propoxyphene	Propoxyphene
		Promethazine	Promethazine
		Diazepam	Diazepam
40009423	Promethazine	Nordiazepam	Nordiazepam
		Citalopram	Citalopram
		Desmethylcitalopram	Desmethylcitalopram
		Chlorpheniramine	Chlorpheniramine
10011001	Chlorpheniramine	Dextromethorphan	Dextromethorphan
40014294	Dextromethorphan	Methadone	Methadone
	Dextrometrorphan	Alprazolam	Alprazolam
4007202	M	Amiodarone	Amiodarone
4007302	Negative	Desmethylamiodarone	Desmethylamiodarone

Illustrating sample analysis in which the LC-MS screening method identified several compounds that were missed by the GC-MS screening method.




Figure 2: ChromaLynx Browser showing results from 40014416 (from Table 1), illustrating identifi cation of Sertraline and Chlorpramazin.

Sample Number	GC/MS Results	LC/MS Results	Comfirmed Results
10007010	Diphenhydramine	Diphenhydramine	Diphenhydramine
40007649		Fentanyl	Fentanyl
	Negative	Cocaine	Cocaine
40008528		Methadone	Methadone
		Diazepam	Diazepam
40014237	Negative	Diazepam	Diazepam
		Nordiazepam	Nordiazepam
40007175	Acetaminophen	Acetaminophen	Acetaminophen
40007175		Propoxyphene	Propoxyphene
		Promethazine	Promethazine
	Promethazine	Diazepam	Diazepam
40009423		Nordiazepam	Nordiazepam
		Citalopram	Citalopram
		Desmethylcitalopram	Desmethylcitalopram
	Chlorpheniramine	Chlorpheniramine	Chlorpheniramine
		Dextromethorphan	Dextromethorphan
40014294	Dextromethorphan	Methadone	Methadone
	Dextometiorphan	Alprazolam	Alprazolam
1007202	Negative	Amiodarone	Amiodarone
4007302		Desmethylamiodarone	Desmethylamiodarone

Figure 3: ChromaLynx browser showing results from sample 40014294 (Table 2), illustrating identifi cation of chlorpheniramine, dextromethorphan, methadone and alprozolam. Note: The LC-MS method identified two compounds, methadone and alprazolam, that were not identifi ed by the GC-MS screening method.

# Conclusion

The evaluated library is comprehensive and includes the majority of compounds encountered in forensic toxicology laboratories in the USA and Europe. The automated software provided is easy to use and the ChromaLynx deconvolution process is very effective. The LC-MS method identified more components than the GC-MS method, in particular the LC-MS method was more effective at identifying polar and basic drugs such as benzodiazepines and opiates. The method uses a full scan spectra approach and therefore enables the use of lower cost single quadrupole technology. LC-MS technology provides an excellent additional tool for toxicology screening

\*Library developed by Calmette Hospital, Lille, France

# **Featured Products**

Alliance HPLC System <https://www.waters.com/534293> ChromaLynx <https://www.waters.com/513759>

720002113, May 2007

© 2021 Waters Corporation. All Rights Reserved.