A FAST AND SENSITIVE UPLC/MS/MS METHOD FOR THE DETECTION OF LIPOPHILIC MARINE BIOTOXINS IN SHELLFISH

Elie Fux1, Daniel McMillan2, Ronel Bire1 and Philipp Hess1
1Biotoxins Chemistry – Marine Institute, Rinville, Galway, Ireland; 2Waters Corp., Atlas Park, Simonsway, Manchester, UK

INTRODUCTION

Marine biotoxins include several families of hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds with varying degrees of toxicity. They can be found in saltwater environments around the world as a result of naturally occurring harmful algal blooms (HABs) which are very difficult, if not impossible, to predict or control. Filterfeeding bivalve molluscs farmed for human consumption are unaffected by the toxins, but accumulate their metabolites in the hepatopancreas and flesh. If eaten, the concentrated toxins can cause a variety of illnesses in humans, and indeed are named for their effects – e.g. Diarrhetic, Amnesic and Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP, ASP, and PSP) toxins.

Due to the high potential risk to human health, shellfish harvests are subject to stringent testing for such compounds. If found above certain levels, harvesting must be suspended which can have severe economic consequences. Hence it is important for any analytical method used to be fast and accurate. However, the only currently ‘official’ prescribed method for the detection of these toxins in the EU is the mouse bioassay (MBA) where the effects of exposure to shellfish extracts on live mice are observed. Not only is this politically sensitive in today’s society, the technique is not specific to any one particular toxin, and is not quantitative.

In order to investigate alternative methods for the DSP toxins, BIOTOX, an EU funded project run under the Food Quality and Safety Priority (FP6-2003-Food-2A) was commissioned in 2005. The principal aims of the twelve European partner laboratories involved are to develop a reference multi-toxin method based on LC/MS and to use this to validate alternative, cost-effective monitoring methods such as immunoassays. The compounds of particular interest are those whose regulatory limits are outlined in EU Commission Decision 2002/225/EC (amendment to EU directive 91/942/EEC).

Aim of the Work

This note describes a method designed to cover all of the regulated compounds below their reporting level. For the large number of samples typically submitted for analysis and the potential for changes to the dispersity of toxins due to environment and climate, the method is applicable to many of the other lipophilic toxins.

Shellfish extracts are a notoriously complex matrix, and ion suppression or enhancement in the mass spectrometer can be problematic, so part of this study was devoted to the investigation and reduction of matrix effects. To achieve this, advanced chromatographic techniques, together with state-of-the-art MS/MS instrumentation as outlined below were employed.

Waters® ACQUITY UPLC® is an advanced separation system which utilizes a 1.7 μm stationary phase particle size to improve resolution and peak shape in a shorter run-time. This offers enhanced selectivity and greater sensitivity of the detector, but means that the mass spectrometer is required to run much faster in order to maintain the integrity of the data.

Waters Quattro Premier™ XE tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer is equipped with traveling-wave ion guides, which enables very rapid clearing of the collision cell between MRM transitions. Not only does this drastically reduce cross-talk, but allows for the extremely short dwell and inter-scan delay times necessary for UPLC analyses. These features, as well as the proven robustness and high sensitivity of the ZSpray™ source and the ability to rapidly switch between ionization modes, make this the instrument of choice for such multi-analyte methods for all types of sample.
METHODS

Shellfish Extraction

Triple methanolic extraction was performed by weighing 2 g of sample in a 50 mL plastic centrifuge tube, to which 6 mL of methanol were subsequently added. The extracts were vortex mixed for 1 minute at 2,500 rpm and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 6,000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred into a 20 mL volumetric flask and the pellet was re-extracted in the same manner. The third extraction was carried out by adding an additional 6 mL of methanol and blending the extract at high speed (ultraturrax) at 11,000 rpm for 1 minute.

After the final centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to the volumetric flask with the two previous extracts. For standard addition experiments, stock solutions of AZA 1 and OA ranging from 1 mg/mL to 30 ng/mL were prepared and added to the volumetric flasks. The volume was then completed up to the mark. The solutions were filtered using 0.2 mm filters prior to dispensing into capped LC vials ready for analysis.

LC Method

System: Waters ACQUITY UPLC
Mobile phase A: H₂O + 2mM CHONH₄ + 50 mM CHOOH
Mobile phase B: 95% aqueous MeCN + 2 mM CHONH₄ + 50 mM CHOOH
Column: ACQUITY UPLC BEH C₁₈ 1.7 μm
          2.1 x 100 mm + 2 μm in-line filter
Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min
Injection volume: 10 μL
Column temp.: 30 °C
Gradient:
  t = 0 min 30% B
  t = 3 min 90% B
  t = 4.5 min 90% B
  t = 4.6 min 30% B
Cycle time: 6.6 min

MS Method

System: Waters Quattro Premier XE
Ionization mode: ES+/ES capillary
V: +/- 2.5kV
Desolvation gas: 850L/h N₂ at 350 °C
Cone gas: 50L/h N₂
Source temp.: 120 °C
Acquisition: MRM mode
Cone V: See Table 1
Collision energy: See Table 1
Collision gas: Ar at 4.5e⁻³ mbar

Software

The data were acquired using Waters MassLynx™ software and processed using the TargetLynx™ Application Manager.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Due to the diversity in the chemistries of the various toxins as illustrated in Figure 1, the chromatographic method was designed not to be optimal for any particular class, but applicable to all the compounds of interest. Hence using the final, generic method, good separation was achieved for most compounds, although some co-elute or have broader peak widths than may ideally be desirable. The high selectivity of the MS/MS method enables easy differentiation of such peaks.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MRM Transition</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Cone Voltage/V</th>
<th>Collision Energy/EV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GYM</td>
<td>508.3 &gt; 392.4</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>508.3 &gt; 490.4</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spx-13-desMeC</td>
<td>692.5 &gt; 164.2</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>692.5 &gt; 444.4</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyhydroxy-YTX</td>
<td>1189.5 &gt; 1109.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1141.5 &gt; 1061.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1141.5 &gt; 925</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Desulfo-YTX</td>
<td>1061.5 &gt; 981.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-OH-YTX</td>
<td>1157.5 &gt; 1077.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxy-YTX</td>
<td>1173.5 &gt; 1094.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homo-YTX</td>
<td>1155.5 &gt; 1075.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyhydroxy-YTX</td>
<td>1187.5 &gt; 1107.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-OH-Homo-YTX</td>
<td>1171.5 &gt; 1091.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA &amp; DTX2</td>
<td>803.5 &gt; 255.2</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>803.5 &gt; 113</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTX1</td>
<td>817.5 &gt; 255.5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>817.5 &gt; 113</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA1 &amp; AZA1b</td>
<td>842.5 &gt; 654.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>842.5 &gt; 362</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA2</td>
<td>856.5 &gt; 672.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>856.5 &gt; 654.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA3</td>
<td>828.5 &gt; 362</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>828.5 &gt; 640.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTX2</td>
<td>876.5 &gt; 823.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>876.5 &gt; 212.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTX1</td>
<td>892.5 &gt; 839.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTX6</td>
<td>906.5 &gt; 853.5</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTX2sa &amp; 7-Epi-PTX2sa</td>
<td>894.5 &gt; 805.2</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Confirmed analytes with MRM transitions and specific instrument parameters.

Figure 1. Example toxin structures: okadaic acid, pectenotoxin 2, and yessotoxin.
Figure 2 below shows example chromatograms of all the compounds analyzed, compiled from four samples. All compounds elute within 3.5 minutes, so with column re-equilibration time taken into account, a 6.6 minute cycle time between injections is enabled.

**Figure 2. Example chromatograms compiled from four sample sets containing all confirmed toxins.**

1. GYM
2. SPX 13-desMe-C
3. Carboxyhydroxyl-YTX
4. 1-desulf-YTX
5. 45-hydroxy-YTX
6. 45-hydroxyhydroxy-YTX
7. Carboxy-YTX
8. Carboxyhydroxyl-YTX
9. PTX 1
10. PTX 6
11. PTX 2 sa
12. OA
13. YTX
14. 1-homo-YTX
15. 7-epi-PTX 2 sa
16. DTX 2
17. PTX 2
18. AZA 3
19. AZA 1b
20. DTX 1
21. AZA 1
22. AZA 2

**Quantification and confirmation**

The ability of the mass spectrometer to rapidly switch between transitions in both ionization modes enables sufficient data-points to be acquired for quantification across each peak. For the most important compounds, two transitions were selected to allow confirmation of quantified components by the relative abundance of the two product ions. TargetLynx Application Manager, an advanced software package containing standard quantitative as well as confirmatory tools, was employed to process the data.

Figure 3 of the TargetLynx results browser shows the calibration curve and results for okadaic acid in a sample set. The central overview bar highlights in red two samples containing trace amounts of yessotoxin, whose concentration cannot be confirmed by the product ion ratio, due to a very low result below the limit of quantification.

**Figure 3. The TargetLynx report showing calibration curve for OA. Results highlighted in red are flagged when ion ratios are outside tolerance.**
A certified reference material of mussel extract (CRMDSP-Mus-b), purchased from NRC, was used to determine the accuracy of the method. Duplicate analyses resulted in concentrations of 9.55 and 9.48 μg/g, indicating an average of 93.7% accuracy compared to the stated value of 10.1 ± 0.8 μg/g OA.

Table 2 overleaf summarizes the preliminary results, based on ~2,000 samples for the six known standard compounds.

Matrix Effects

When dealing with such complex matrices as shellfish extracts, it is imperative to consider any suppression or enhancement of analyte ionization due to co-eluting endogenous peaks. There are various methods of assessing this, and for this study, standard addition (matrix matched standards) and post-extraction addition of OA and AZA 1 were employed. Analyses were carried out on raw and cooked mussels and oysters in order to investigate how matrix effects can vary.

From the results of the standard addition experiments shown in Figure 4, it can clearly be seen for AZA 1, suppression due to the matrix as compared to solvent standards is very much dependent on the matrix, with raw mussels and oysters affected more than cooked.

Figure 5 shows results for the post-extraction addition experiments. These demonstrate that suppression also becomes more problematic as the percentage of matrix increases (i.e. more sample or less extraction solvent).

From these two sets of analyses, it can be concluded that to minimize matrix effects, it is necessary to minimize the amount of matrix introduced. Using the methods described in this application note, this is easily achievable. With the high sensitivity shown, it is possible to perform the intensive extraction described, thus diluting the sample reducing the amount of matrix entering the source of the mass spectrometer. An extraction of 2 g flesh into 20 mL solvent is within the range shown not to suffer from matrix effects in this UPLC method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>LOD (pg/mL)</th>
<th>LOQ (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Range (ng/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>0.997 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>483.1</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.5-232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTX</td>
<td>0.9969 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>336.3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.8-56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZA1</td>
<td>0.9996 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.4-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTX2</td>
<td>0.9993 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.5-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYM</td>
<td>0.9974 ± 0.0014</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.5-111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPX</td>
<td>0.9966 ± 0.0037</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.0-80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. TargetLynx quantitation results for the five toxins of certified concentration and YTX, purified at Marine Institute.
CONCLUSION

- The UPLC/MS/MS method developed is fast and accurate.
- Quantitative and confirmatory transitions are included for the major analytes of interest.
- The ability of the Quattro Premier XE to perform multiple MRM transitions in both polarities allows analysis of many more toxins than are regulated in the EU.
- The high sensitivity of the method allows for more dilution and lower injection volume, hence matrix effects are minimized.
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